

Family Name	Byrne
Given Name	Wendy
Person ID	1286220
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Byrne
Given Name	Wendy
Person ID	1286220
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>This is publicly accessible greenbelt land and should remain so for future generations .</p> <p>The site is an important area for diminishing wild life and supports several protected species of such as badgers, bats, dormice, newts, voles, shrews and hedgehogs. It is a significant local amenity which will be lost to future generations if built on.</p> <p>Therefore the site fails to comply with PfE objective 8 and is not consistent with NPPF chapter 15 and is therefore not justified and not consistent. with national policy.</p> <p>The pathways in this area are well used by walkers cyclists, joggers and horse riders. Building unneeded, unaffordable houses here would deprive the local community of one of the few places left for exercise away from traffic. Removing Greenbelt protection from the football, cricket and tennis clubs also leaves them vulnerable to future development.</p> <p>The site fails to comply with PfE Objectives 7, 8 and 10 and is not consistent with chapter 8 of NPPF. The site is therefore not consistent with national policy.</p> <p>The site is not near any metro or train station and would therefore mean 900+ more cars on the existing roads . The plan to make a one way system would just increase the gridlock and make the air pollution worse.</p> <p>The site fails to comply with PfE objective 7 and is not consistent with adapting to climate change,moving to a low carbon economy and NPPF Chapters 2 (para 8) and 9.</p> <p>The site is therefore not justified and not consistent. with national policy.</p> <p>The site suffers from flooding ever year sometimes severely. Building here, concreting on the fields and removing mature trees and hedgerows will</p>

	<p>prevent the floodwater soaking away and increase the risk of flooding to new and existing properties.</p> <p>The site fails to comply with PfE objective 2 and is not consistent with NPPF Chapter 14.</p> <p>The site is not justified, not deliverable and not consistent with national policy.</p> <p>There are no exceptional circumstances which would justify building 450 executive detached houses on protected greenbelt land. Many brownfield sites are not included and densities could be increases on existing sites.</p> <p>The site fails to comply with PfE objective 7 and 8 and is not consistent with sustainable development and NPPF chapter 13.</p> <p>The site is therefore. not justified and not consistent with national policy.</p> <p>Local schools are already full as are doctor"s surgeries, and there is no provision for new ones on this site. The site fails to comply with PfE objectives and is not consistent with NPPF chapter 8 (para95)., therefore the site is not justified and not consistent with national policy.</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>JPA19 Bamford/Norden should be removed from the PfE.</p>